



تحویل اصلی: ۱۵ آذر ۱۳۹۹	مقدمهای بر رمزنگاری
تمرین شماره ۳	
تحویل نهایی: ۲۲ آذر ۱۳۹۹	مدرّس: دکتر شهرام خزائی

دانشکدهی علوم ریاضی

- Upload your answers on courseware with the name: StudentNumber.pdf
- Upload a PDF file. Image and zip formats are not accepted.
- Similar answers will not be graded.
- NO answers will be accepted via e-mail.
- You can't upload files bigger than 2 Mb, so you'd better type.
- Deadline time is always at 23:55 and will not be extended.
- You should submit your answers before soft deadline.
- You will lose 5 percent for each day delay if you submit within a week after soft deadline.
- You can not submit any time after hard deadline.
- All problem sets include 100 points.
- For any question contact Mahtab Alghassi via mahtab.alghassi@gmail.com.

Problem 1

Message Authentication Code:

- a. (5 Points) Let (S, V) be a secure MAC defined over (K, M, T) where $T = \{0, 1\}^n$. Define a new MAC (S', V') as follows: S'(k, m) is the same as S(k, m), except that the last eight bits of the output tag t are truncated. That is, S' outputs tags in $\{0, 1\}^{n-8}$. Algorithm V'(k, m, t') accepts if there is some $b \in \{0, 1\}^8$ for which V(k, m, t'||b) accepts. Is (S', V') a secure MAC? Give an attack or argue security.
- b. (10 Points) Prove that the following modification of basic CBC-MAC gives a secure MAC for arbitrary-length messages (for simplicity, assume all messages have length a multiple of the block length). $MAC_k(m)$ first computes $k_L = F_k(L)$, where L is the length of m. The tag is then computed using basic CBC-MAC with key k_L . Verification is done in the natural way.
- c. (5 points) Recall that in CBC-MAC the IV is fixed. Suppose we chose a random IV for every message being signed and include the IV in the MAC, i.e. S(k,m) := (r, CBCr(k,m)), where $CBC_r(k,m)$ refers to the raw CBC function using r as the IV. Describe an existential forgery on the resulting MAC.

Problem 2

(20 Points) **Multicast MACs.** Suppose user A wants to broadcast a message to n recipients $B_1, ..., B_n$. Privacy is not important but integrity is. In other words, each of $B_1, ..., B_n$ should be assured that the message he is receiving were sent by A. User A decides to use a MAC.

- a. (5 point) Suppose user A and $B_1, ..., B_n$ all share a secret key k. User A computes the MAC tag for every message she sends using k, and every user B_i verifies the tag using k. Using at most two sentences explain why this scheme is insecure, namely, show that user B_1 is not assured that messages he is receiving are from A.
- b. (5 point) Suppose user A has a set $S = \{k_1, ..., k_L\}$ of L secret keys. Each user B_i has some subset $S_i \subseteq S$ of the keys. When A transmits a message she appends L MAC tags to it by MACing the message with each of her L keys. When user B_i receives a message he accepts it as valid only if all tags corresponding to keys in S_i are valid. Let us assume that the users $B_1, ..., B_n$ do not collude with each

other. What property should the sets $S_1, ..., S_n$ satisfy so that the attack from part (a) does not apply?

- c. (5 point) Show that when n = 10 (i.e. ten recipients) it suffices to take L = 5 in part (b). Describe the sets $S_1, ..., S_{10} \subseteq k_1, ..., k_5$ you would use.
- d. (5 pint) Show that the scheme from part (c) is completely insecure if two users are allowed to collude.

Problem 3

- a. (10 points) . Let $H: M \to T$ be a collision resistant hash where $M = \{0, 1\}^L$ and $T = \{0, 1\}^n$. For each of the following, explain why it is collision resistant, or describe an efficient way to find collisions:
 - for a fixed $0^L \neq \Delta \in M$ define $H_1(m) := H(m) \oplus H(m \oplus \Delta)$.
 - for a fixed $0^n \neq \Delta \in T$ define $H_2(m) := H(m) \oplus \Delta$.
- b. (5 points) Suppose $H : X \to Y$ is a collision resistant hash function, where $Y \subseteq X$. Is the function $H^2(x) = H(H(x))$ collision resistant? Give an attack on H^2 , or prove that H^2 is collision resistant by showing that an attack on H^2 gives an attack H.
- c. (5 points) Let $H: M \to \{0, 1\}^{128}$ be a collision resistant hash function known to the adversary. Does the function $f(k, m) = H(m) \oplus k$ give a secure MAC? If so explain why. If not, describe an attack

Problem 4

(20 Points) prove or disaprove:

- a. (5 points) if (Gen, h) is preimage resistant, then so is the hash function (Gen, H) obtained by applying the Merkle–Damgard transform to (Gen, h).
- b. (5 points) if (Gen, h) is second preimage resistant, then so is the hash function (Gen, H) obtained by applying the Merkle–Damgard transform to (Gen, h).
- c^{*}. (10 points, **optional**) Show how to find a collision in the Merkle tree construction if t is not fixed. Specifically, show how to find two sets of inputs $x_1, ..., x_t$ and $x'_1, ..., x'_{2t}$ such that $\mathcal{MT}_t(x_1, ..., x_t) = \mathcal{MT}_{2t}(x'_1, ..., x'_{2t})^1$.

 $^{^{1}5.6.2}$ of Katz-Lindell book

Problem 5

Carter-Wegman MAC. An important family of MACs is called Carter-Wegman MACs.

- a. (2 Points) A one-time MAC is a MAC that is secure as long as the MAC key is only used to authenticate at most one message. Write out the security definition for a one-time MAC by suitably adapting the security definition for a (many time) MAC.
- b. (3 Points) Let p be a prime so that 1/p is negligible. Here is a simple candidate one-time MAC with message space $\mathcal{M} := (\mathbb{Z}_p)^{\leq L}$, for some $L \leq p$, and key space $\mathcal{K} := \mathbb{Z}_p^2$:

$$S((k,k'), m = (m_1, ..., m_n)) = \{output \leftarrow k' + \sum_{i=1}^n m_i . k^i \in \mathbb{Z}_p\}$$

Verification V((k, k'), m, t) works by checking that S((k, k'), m) = t. Show that this MAC is insecure as a one-time MAC.

Hint: use the fact that the MAC can be used to sign messages of varying lengths.

c. (5 Points) We can fix the problem from part (b) by defining

$$S'((k,k'), m = (m_1, ..., m_n)) = \{output \leftarrow k' + k^{n+1} + \sum_{i=1}^n m_i \cdot k^i \in \mathbb{Z}_p\}$$

Verification V' works as before by recalculating S'((k,k'),m). This MAC can be shown to be one-time secure whenever L/p is negligible. Instead, show that this MAC is not two-time secure.

Note: this one-time MAC is blindingly fast, requiring only one addition and one multiplication per message b.

d^{*}. (10 Points, **optional**) We can convert (S', V') into a many-time MAC using a secure PRF. Let F be a secure PRF defined $(\mathcal{K}, \mathbb{Z}_p, \mathbb{Z}_p)$. Define the Carter-Wegman MAC as

$$S''((k,k'),m) := \{ r \leftarrow \mathbb{Z}_p, t \leftarrow F(k',r) + k^{n+1} + \sum_{i=1}^n m_i \cdot k^i, output(r,t) \}$$

Note that the PRF (typically AES) is only applied to the single block r. As a result, this MAC can be faster than CBC-MAC. Explain how the verification algorithm V'' works.